



## October 2023

	Merion Road Small Cap Fund	IWM (Russell 2000)	Barclay Hedge Fund Index		MRCM Long Only Large Cap	SPY (S&P 500)
<b>Annualized Since Inception</b>	<b>15.5%</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>Annualized Since Inception</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>
<b>Q3 2023</b>	<b>(3.1%)</b>	<b>(5.2%)</b>	<b>(0.4%)</b>	<b>Q3 2023</b>	<b>(2.6%)</b>	<b>(3.2%)</b>
<b>2023 YTD</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>2023 YTD</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
2022	(16.9%)	(20.4%)	(8.5%)	2022	(34.9%)	(18.2%)
2021	42.5%	14.5%	10.0%	2021	20.4%	28.7%
2020	29.5%	20.0%	11.0%	2020	54.3%	18.3%
2019	17.9%	25.4%	10.6%	2019	25.2%	31.2%
2018	15.7%	(11.1%)	(5.2%)	2018	(6.0%)	(4.6%)
2017	35.7%	14.6%	10.3%	Dec 18 - Dec 31	0.1%	(0.5%)
2016 (Jul-Dec)	1.3%	18.7%	5.4%			

Note: All returns are net of management and performance fees. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Returns for the Merion Road Small Cap Fund for the period prior to fund launch (01/13/22) reflect a basket of SMAs.

The Long Only portfolio fell 2.6% in Q3. I continued to peel a little off of our tech names like GOOG, AMZN, and NOW. These still remain significant holdings for us, but have become relatively less attractive as their valuation has recovered, rates have increased, and other stocks have lagged.

During the quarter I established a new position in Summit Materials (“SUM”). SUM is a construction materials provider with about 40% of their revenue from aggregates and cement, 30% from ready-mix concrete, and the remaining from asphalt and paving. I have followed the aggregates and cement industry for many years and have always been attracted to it. What’s not to like given the lack of substitutes, limited competition due to permitting and transportation costs, and long-term demand growth. With the majority of product serving public construction needs, the industry should benefit from the recently passed infrastructure bills that have yet to truly hit the market.

In early September the company announced that they would acquire the U.S. operations of Cementos Argos, a Colombian cement company. The market did not like the transaction as SUM subsequently traded down over 20%. While this move was not entirely unwarranted, I thought it was excessive and used the sell-off to establish a position. To be fair, SUM paid up for the assets (10x EBITDA) and I wouldn’t be surprised if Argos had underinvested in them / they require additional capital. On the flip side, this acquisition will shift SUM’s business mix to the more stable and higher valued business lines of aggregates/cement. Furthermore, it increases the company’s exposure to growth states like Florida, the Carolina’s, and Georgia. Add in the potential of operational synergies and high-return capital investments, and this deal might not be so bad after all.

The Small Cap Fund was down 3.1% in Q3. I did some repositioning in this portfolio as well. Notably I fully exited our position in Westwood Holdings (“WHG”). I miscalculated on management here and ultimately realized that any value in the business will continue to get siphoned away to employees.

As you may recall I wrote up Distribution Solutions Groups (“DSGR”) in my last letter. In late September they had an investor day during which they did a deep dive into their business lines and highlighted the various ways to drive revenue, profitability, and stability of earnings. While management had a lot to say on these issues, what stood out to me was their focus on driving value added services which in turn leads to higher profitability and customer retention. DSGR is making the upfront investment today in both people, to serve complex needs of customer, and physical assets. As the company gains scale, these costs get spread out over a larger base and drive further profitability. Management put out a 5-year goal of \$5+ in earnings power per share which would be highly welcomed given that shares are currently trading for a bit over \$30. While the stock price has understandably appreciated with the increased disclosure and long-term targets, it remains attractive even if they just get in the ballpark of their goals.

Since the quarter ended I have built a small- to mid-sized position in WK Kellogg (“KLG”). KLG is the North American business spun-out from the business formerly known as Kellogg. There is a ton of pessimism around this company. Two weeks before the spin the Wall Street Journal put out a scathing article on the cereal industry titled “It’s the Breakfast of Champions No More: Cereal Is in Long-Term Decline.” Unrelated, Ozempic and other GLP-1s have been a topic dejour and deemed to be a massive headwind to any unhealthy food product. Industry issues aside, KLG has recently performed worst amongst the big three (Post and General Mills being the other). In 2021 their production was stymied by a fire at their plant in Memphis and a strike by 1,400 people; production lagged and KLG generally lost share. Add in the fact that the spin accounted for only ~5% of the value of the parent company and it makes sense why most legacy shareholder receiving the stock would prefer to dump it into the market.

KLG owns highly recognizable and established brands like Frosted Flakes, Raisin Bran, Special K, Fruit Loops, and even Kashi for the health-conscious consumer. They have historically generated about \$2.8bn in revenue but EBITDA margins were only in the mid- to high-single digits. Part of this can be explained by being a small part of a much larger company. Some brands were run separately from others, geographies were split, and the sales force was responsible for selling not just cereal but the whole arsenal of Kellogg product. By eliminating silos and having a dedicated sales force management hopes to drive margin improvement and regain lost share. More importantly, however, they plan to invest several hundred million into their outdated manufacturing facilities. Management is targeting a 5% margin improvement over the next couple years which would still leave them below Post, which operates in the 15%-20% range. If margins don’t move up much from here earnings are probably in the \$0.75 range putting the stock at 13x. Assuming operating margins improve 3% off LTM figures (to account for any incremental depreciation expense) we would get to \$1.50 in earnings or less than 7x. This all compares favorably to Post and General Mills multiples in the mid-teens. While there is a lot to dislike about KLG, the risk return seems attractive.

Sincerely,



Aaron Sallen

## General Disclaimer

This material does not constitute an offer or the solicitation of an offer to purchase an interest in Merion Road Small Cap Fund, LP (the “**Fund**”), which such offer will only be made via a confidential private placement memorandum (the “**Memorandum**”). An investment in the Fund is speculative and is subject to a risk of loss, including a risk of loss of principal. There is no secondary market for interests in the Fund and none is expected to develop. No assurance can be given that the Fund will achieve its objective or that an investor will receive a return of all or part of its investment. All statements herein are qualified in their entirety by reference to the Memorandum, and to the extent that this document contradicts the Memorandum, the Memorandum shall govern in all respects.

This material is confidential and may not be distributed or reproduced in whole or in part without the express written consent of Merion Road Capital Management, LLC (the “**Investment Manager**”). The information and opinions contained in this document are for background purposes only and do not purport to be full or complete. Unless otherwise stated, the information in this document is not personalized investment advice or an investment recommendation on the part of the Investment Manager.

The performance data discussed herein do not represent the performance of the Fund, but rather, represent the unaudited performance of a basket of separately managed accounts managed by the Investment Manager pursuant to the same strategy expected to be implemented for the Fund. Results generated in the Fund once outside capital is admitted could be materially different than those results shown. The results shown reflect the deduction of: (i) an annual asset management fee of 1.5%, charged quarterly; (ii) a performance allocation of 15%, taken annually, subject to a “high water mark;” and (iii) transaction fees and other expenses actually incurred. The management fee and performance allocation were applied retroactively and do not reflect actual fees charged. None of the results shown reflect the deduction of certain organizational and operating expenses common to investment funds, which would serve to decrease profits or otherwise increase losses. Results were achieved using the investment strategies described in the Memorandum.

Results are compared to the performance of the Russell 2000 Index, the Russell Micro-cap Index, and the Barclay HF Index (collectively, the “**Comparative Indexes**”) for informational purposes only. The Fund’s investment program does not mirror any of the Comparative Indexes and the volatility of the Fund’s investment program may be materially different from the volatility of the Comparative Indexes. The securities included in the Comparative Indexes are not necessarily included in the Fund’s investment program and criteria for inclusion in the Comparative Indexes are different than criteria for investment by the Fund. The performance of the Comparative Indexes reflects the reinvestment of dividends, as appropriate.

This material contains certain forward-looking statements and projections regarding market trends, investment strategy, and the future asset allocation of the Fund, including indicative guidelines regarding position limits, exposures, position sizing, diversification, and other indications regarding the Fund’s strategy. These projections and guidelines are included for illustrative purposes only, are inherently predictive, speculative, and involve risk and uncertainty because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that will occur in the future. The guidelines included herein do not reflect strict rules or limitations on the Fund’s investment program and the Fund may deviate from the guidelines described herein. There are a number of factors that could cause actual events and developments to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements, projections, and guidelines, and no assurances can be given that the forward-looking statements in this document will be realized or followed, as described. These forward-looking statements will not necessarily be updated in the future.

**PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS.**